Earthquake Safety and the Indian Subcontinent

SAARC Disaster Management Centre GIDM Gandhinagar 18 September 2017


Sudhir K Jain

President, International Association for Earthquake Engineering, & Director, Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar

The Earthquake Problem
Three Examples of Local Solutions
Capacity Building Initiatives in India
The Way Forward and Concluding Remarks

OUTLINE





Ancient Earthquakes



Tilting of walls indicating an earthquake

Harrappan site in Kutch (2500 BCE)

Ancient India

- Vedas and Puranas refer to earthquakes
- · Scholarly discussion on earthquakes by
 - Varaha Mihira in Brihat Samhita
 - 5th-6th century AD
 - Ballala Sena in Adbhuta Sagara
 - 10-11th century AD
 - Chamunda Raya in Lokopakarakam
 - 11th century AD

Ancient India

- Earthquake classification as per these:
 - Agni (fire)
 - Vayu (wind)
 - Varuna (water)
 - Indra (rain)
- Zones of occurrence of the four types
 - Well aligned with contemporary seismic zones

Some Significant Earthquakes

Earthquake		Magnitude	Deaths
1819 Kachchh		8.0	1,500
1897 Assam		8.7	1,500
1905 Kangra		8.6	19,000
1934 Bihar-Nepa	al	8.4	7,253 + 3,400
1950 Assam		8.7	1,500
1967 Koyna		6.5	180
1988 Bihar-Nepa	al	6.6	1,000
1991 Uttarkashi		6.4	768
1993 Killari, Latu	ır	6.2	7,928
1997 Jabalpur		6.0	38
1999 Chamoli		6.6	63
2001 Bhuj		7.7	13,805
2004 Sumatra ar	nd Tsunami	9.0	250,000
2005 Kashmir		7.5	100,000



Developing Country Problem?

- Several countries have significantly reduced earthquake risk vis-à-vis number of deaths, e.g.,
 - New Zealand, US, Japan, Chile, ...
- Earthquakes continue to cause a huge number of deaths elsewhere, e.g.,
 - India, Pakistan, China, Iran, Turkey, Haiti, ...
- The gap between the two sets of countries in this respect has widened in the last fifty years

Two Categories of Problems

- "Engineered" Constructions
- Non-Engineered Constructions

2001 Bhuj Earthquake

- Magnitude 7.7, ~13,805 persons dead
- Ahmedabad City
 - 600 year old city; 250 km from epicentre
 - 130 multistory buildings collapsed
 - 805 persons killed
 - All were new residential RC buildings
 - Collapses due to unusually weak buildings



Two Pronged Approach

- · Locally-appropriate building typologies
- Improvement in construction ecosystem

Indigenous Typologies





Dhajji Diwari construction in Srinagar area, Kashmir Patchwork of brick panels confined by timber members

Indigenous Typologies...

- Taq constructions in Kashmir
- Large wood horizontal runners embedded in masonry walls
 - Improves the lateral load resistance of the building



Assam Type House

- Developed after 1897 earthquake in Assam
- · Became prevalent in the entire north-eastern India
 - Infills of Ikra panels made of local reeds caked in mud
 - Timber confining members
 - Lightweight roof, and no stone chimneys
- Currently being replaced by poorly-constructed RC and masonry buildings



Local Solutions

- · Construction is very context specific
- Solutions must be found indigenously
 - Grounded in local culture and circumstances
- Three examples from Indian subcontinent

Earthquake-Resistant Construction in Quetta in the 1930s Seismic Retrofitting in Andaman Islands in the 1940s Confined Masonry in Gandhinagar in the 2010s

THREE EXAMPLES

EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT CONSTRUCTION IN QUETTA

Developments in Baluchistan


- 1931 Mach EQ
 - M7.4; R.F. Intensity VIII
 - ~100 persons killed
- S L Kumar, 28 yrs, civil engineer with railways
 - Asked to undertake earthquake-resistant, quarters for railway staff



Six bungalows constructed by Kumar

Brick walls braced with vertical and horizontal iron rails

Sardari Lal Kumar



1933 paper by Kumar:

- Concept of earthquake resistant constructions
- Details of his design
- First seismic zone map of India
- Seismic coefficients for design

Quetta Earthquake

- 1935 Quetta earthquake
 - M7.6; max intensity X;
 - 20,000 to 30,000 persons killed (~ 40-50% population)





Exemplary performance of Railway quarters designed by Kumar


Reconstruction in Baluchistan


- Massive reconstruction by
 - Military
 - Railways, and
 - Civil authorities
- Seismic codes developed, implemented and enforced
 - First time in Indian sub-continent
- · "Quetta Bond" developed
- Earthquake of 1941 (intensity VIII to IX) proved efficacy of these

In Contrast!

- · Bihar-Nepal (1934) earthquake
 - M8.4; intensity X on MM Scale
 - Deaths: 7,253 in India and 3,400 in Nepal
 - No efforts for earthquake-resistant construction!
 - Had similar earthquake in 1833 as well
- GSI report (1939) on this earthquake:
 - In the Quetta area an excellent building code has recently been drawn up, and reconstruction has been rigidly enforced in terms of that code.... It is, perhaps, not too much to hope that the rest of Northern India will some day follow Quetta's lead.









Retrofitting in the Church








Anchor bolts

CONFINED MASONRY IN IIT GANDHINAGAR CAMPUS

Confined Masonry



- Proven record of good seismic performance
 - Excellent features for seismic areas
 - Close to traditional construction practices
 - · Masonry construction, and
 - · RC frame buildings
 - Low in engineering intricacies
- Efforts in last 10 years to propagate in India

Confined Masonry Initiative

- International Strategy Workshop at IIT Kanpur in January 2008
 - Creation of a confined masonry network





Campus of IIT Gandhinagar



- 400 acre campus
- Student hostels and faculty apartments in confined masonry
 - Small size rooms
 - High wall density
 - 3 and 4 storey
 - 10-15% cost savings over RC frames

Confined Masonry at IITGN Campus







- First large-scale application in India
- Design challenges
 - Architects/structural design team not familiar
 - Many debates about process and provisions
- Construction challenges
 - High strength bricks in large quantity
 - Manufacturing plant for Fly Ash Lime Gypsum bricks at site

Housing under Construction









Implications

- IITGN project a showcase for confined masonry
 - Constructed by Central Public Works Department of the Government of India
 - May lead CPWD specifications to now include CM
 - Will help acceptance in formal construction sector

Continuing Education Programmes

National Information Centre of Earthquake Engineering (NICEE)

National Prog. of Earthquake Engineering Education (NPEEE)

Interventions towards architects

Earthquake Tips

CAPACITY BUILDING INITIATIVES

An Opportunity for CEP



Inaugural programme to kick-start formal activities at the new IIT at Guwahati

- Assam Accord in 1985
- Foundation Stone of an IIT in Assam in 1992
- 3-day short course in October 1992 under the banner of IIT Guwahati
 - On seismic design
 - For structural engineers
- Encouraging response

Continuing Education

- · Massive CEP for professionals by Jain and Murty
- During 1992 to 2001
 - Numerous one-week training programmes
 - Seismic design of RC buildings
 - · Seismic design of bridges
 - In different cities of India (and in Nepal and Bhutan)
 - Class size of ~100 engineers, sometimes ~200
 - About 2,000 professional engineers trained
- By 2001, considerable expertise was available in the profession

Two Workshops at Kanpur

- Round-table brain storming workshops
 - 1996: EQ Resistant Construction in Civil Engg Curriculum
 - 1998: Developing Earthquake Engineering Industry in India
- Valuable for
 - Subsequent creation of NICEE and NPEEE
 - Developing earthquake engineering community in academia and industry

National Information Centre of Earthquake Engineering

Inception

- 1996: Workshop at Kanpur identified the need
- 1997: Proposal for raising funds
- 1999: First donation received
- 2001: Major impetus by Bhuj earthquake

Key Words for Objectives


- Information and capacity building
- Earthquake safety
- India and developing countries




2013 Literature review workshop for post-graduate students

NICEE Activities

- · Acquisition and dissemination of publications
- Publication of monographs & distance education products
- Translations into local languages
- Earthquake Engineering Practice A quarterly periodical
- Distribution of ETABS and SAP to colleges
- Workshops, meetings, conferences, e-conferences
 - Post-graduate students, architecture students,
- Inter-school guiz for school children
- Web Site; Electronic Newsletter; Strong Email Listing
- World Conference Proceedings





National Programme on Earthquake Engg Education

- · Inception:
 - 1996: Workshop at Kanpur brainstormed need
 - 2001: Earthquake caused concern in Govt of India; proposal developed
 - 2003: Funding released
- To develop Earthquake Engineering capacity in
 - Engineering colleges, schools of architecture, and polytechnics
 - India had ~1,000 such colleges/institutes at that time
- Funded by the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt of India
 - Rs 13.5 crores (US\$ 3 million) in 4 years (2003-07)

National Programme on Earthquake Engg Education...



Participants at launch workshop in 2003

- Executed by 7 IITs and IISc
 - With IIT Kanpur coordinating
- Open to all colleges, government or private
 - Focus on training of faculty and curriculum development

NPEEE: Components

- Faculty development through training
 - · Short courses of one and two week duration
 - · Semester long programmes
 - Semester long post-doctoral work overseas
- Curriculum and resource material development
- Workshops and conferences
- International visitors to IITs and IISc
- Support for participation in conferences abroad
- · Support for laboratory and library development

Interventions Towards Architects




Students presenting their design to the jury

- One-day seminars
 - Ministry of Home
 Affairs and Indian
 Institute of Architects
 - 21 seminars in different cities
- Annual workshop for architectural students
 - NICEE in IIT Kanpur
 - Nearly 400 students participated to date

Interventions Towards Architects...



- Earthquake design in curricula of architecture
- Resource materials
 - 600 PPT slides for 28 lectures in classroom
 - Indian version of RESIST



THE WAY FORWARD AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

2-Pronged Approach


- Local solutions and construction typologies
- Improve ecosystem for quality construction

Our Challenge

- Civil Engineering Structures
 - Large and expensive
 - Unique (one-of-a kind; unlike aircrafts or cars)
- · Civil Engineering Profession
 - Limited time for design and development
- · Based on trust
 - No scope for testing of real structures
- Ethics is critical for safe constructions

Challenge of Safe Construction

- Error of intention
- Error of concept
- Error of execution



Critical Needs

- Awareness and creating demand
- · Capacity building at all levels
- · Competence-based licensing
- Professionalization
- Ethical enforcement of codes
- R&D for construction typologies

Plan of Action

- Recognize the problem (correctly)
 - Have intent to fix it
 - Humans tend to deny existence of very difficult problems
- · Capacity building activities:
 - A lot has been done but a lot more needs to be done
- Enforcement framework for code compliance
 - With appropriate incentives and punitive measures
 - Seat belts in cars!!

Where we stand today?

- Tremendous awareness after 2001 earthquake
- · Awareness of seismic codes
- Problem Areas
 - Basics of structural engineering
 - Ethical professional conduct
- Solution
 - Competence-based licensing of engineers
 - Enforcement of codes by the municipal authorities

Licensing of Engineers

- Competence Based
 - As against degree or experience based
- · Critical where inherent trust needed
 - For example, civil engineering industry
 - Not for cell phone or car industry
- · Grandfather clause initially
- Licensing in other professions
 - Architects, medicine, legal
 - Chartered accountants

Gujarat Initiative

- The Gujarat Professional Civil Engineers Bill, 2006
- Gujarat Council of Professional Civil Engineers
 - Two meetings in 2011
 - No progress since!
- Not enough sensitization of WHY we need to do this

Enforcement of Codes

- Municipal authorities to ensure compliance
 - Municipal engineers to ensure drawings comply
 - Develop systems for on-site inspections
- · Already done for Fire Safety

Policy Issues

- Science versus engineering of earthquakes
- Retrofitting versus ensuring safe new constructions
- Propagating right construction typologies
- Who is to champion seismic safety?

Human Response to Earthquakes

(Key, 1988) Reaction **Event Positive** Negative 0-1min Major EQ Panic 2 1min to 1 week Aftershocks Rescue and Survival Fear Diminishing Allocation of blame to builders 1week to 1month Short term repairs Aftershocks designers, officials, etc Long term repairs, 1month to 1year Action for higher standards 1 year to 10years Diminishing interest Reluctance to meet costs of seismic provisions, etc., 10yrs to next EQ Increasing non-compliance with regulations The next EQ Major EQ Repeat stages 1-7

Window of Opportunity

- Damaging earthquakes provide a window of opportunity, e.g.,
 - NICEE and NPEEE in India
- It is a rather short window
 - Not enough time to develop new strategies after the disaster
 - Planning to be done in 'peacetime'

Earthquakes versus Buildings

- For earthquake safety
 - Entire chain of construction industry must be robust
 - E.g., safe food in a restaurant!
 - Can only be achieved if there is a safety culture
- Earthquake engineering must be better integrated into civil engineering
 - And not seen as a super specialty
- Earthquake problem *versus* building problem
 - Focus must shift from "earthquakes" to "buildings"

To Conclude

- Indian subcontinent has a huge stock of unsafe buildings
 - And, we continue to build many unsafe buildings
 - And, we have a huge construction boom ahead
- To meet our aspirations on quality of life and economic development
 - We must address problem of seismic risk
- A major earthquake in a vulnerable area could set back development by decades

To Conclude

- Earthquake problem has no quick fixes
 - Requires sustained attention and tremendous effort
 - On multiple fronts
 - By a diverse set of stakeholders
 - Over decades
- · A lot has been achieved in recent years
 - But, much remains to be done

